

CITY OF PORTLAND
PORTLAND MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION
MEETING MINUTES

August 11, 2026

5:00 PM

LIVE VIDEO ON THE CITY OF PORTLAND WEBSITE

City of Portland City Hall
100 South Russell Street- Room 111
Portland TN 37148

Call To Order

Roll Call

Chairwoman Ann Blackburn asks for roll call at 5:00 pm.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ann Blackburn-Chairwoman
Vice Mayor Megann Thompson
Robert Tooker
Jim DiPiazza
Luther Bratton
Gail Gentry
Chirag Patel

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jessica Hunter
Ted Jernigan

A quorum was established.

STAFF PRESENT:

Nate Heisler-City Planner
Tracey Griffin

Other Interested Parties:

Andy Leath
Paul Kail

Approval of Agenda

Motion to Approve: Gail Gentry

Second Motion: Robert Tooker. All were in favor, motion carried. 7-0.

Yes: Vice Mayor Megann Thompson, Jim DiPiazza, Robert Tooker, Chairwoman Ann Blackburn, Luther Bratton, Gail Gentry, Chirag Patel

Absent: Jessica Hunter, Ted Jernigan

Approval of Minutes: July 14, 2026

Motion to Approve: Robert Tooker

Second Motion: Gail Gentry-All were in favor, motion carried. 7-0.

Yes: Vice Mayor Megann Thompson, Jim DiPiazza, Robert Tooker, Chairwoman Ann Blackburn, Luther Bratton, Gail Gentry, Chirag Patel

Absent: Jessica Hunter, Ted Jernigan

Public Comments

In accordance with Resolution #26-33, public comments are allowed when those comments are germane to either the agenda or to the board's governing authority, except where otherwise prohibited. The number of individuals speaking and/or the allotted time to speak may be limited by the presiding officer to ensure opposing viewpoints are fairly represented in a timely manner. Sign-up sheets for public recognition will be available before the start of each meeting in the same room where the meeting is being held and anyone wishing to speak must sign up before the start of the meeting. Speakers will be recognized one at a time.

Public Hearings

Ordinance Amendment – Revisions to Design Review Regulations. Building material definitions and Table 3: List of Permitted Building Materials by Zoning and/or Use

Notice of these public hearings was published in the *Portland Sun* on August 31, 2026, and posted on the municipal website and at City Hall in accordance with state law.

Public Recognition for Public Hearings and Agenda-Related Items (5 minutes maximum per speaker)

Ok, seeing none for that item.

We'll go ahead and closeout the public hearing portion and we'll move on to public recognition for public hearings and agenda related items. We'll have the speakers will have 5 minutes maximum to speak and we have one person that has signed up on the list.

Paul Kail, If you'll come up to the front state your name and address and you'll have 5 minutes.

Paul Kail: My name is Paul Kail Storguard Storage Facility. I thought if you guys have any questions for me or anything like that, I probably was supposed to sign that if I had to come up here

Ann Blackburn: it's OK, if we have questions we will call you up here.

Paul Kail: All right.

Ann Blackburn: Thank you. All right, that's the only speaker, person who had signed up to speak so we'll close out the public recognition portion and move on to New Business.

NEW BUSINESS

- 7A. Andy Leath (applicant) request Preliminary Plat approval for Magnolia Springs Subdivision :location 0 Bloomsbury Drive, Portland TN. (Tax Map 016, Parcel 031.03) Sumner County 13.35 +/- acres. Zoned RS-20 (Low Density Single Family Residential)

Nate Heisler: So, I'm going to zoom in on the map here, this is north of town, you can see Highway 109, I'm going to zoom into the Magnolia Springs Subdivision. Let me turn on parcels so you can see which one we're looking at. So, a little history on this project, I'm going to highlight the area too. So, this is what we're talking about. Little history on this project the Planning Commission had originally approved the preliminary plat for this project along with construction plans back in January of 2023. There are 3-year approvals with Preliminary Plats, so the plat had expired January of this year. We had a Pre Application meeting with GreenLID, the developer, they want to reapply for approval for the Preliminary Plat for this Magnolia Springs area and also, we had talked about the concept version of this at the last

meeting, so this is the Preliminary Plat portion of this Planning process. I'm going to bring up the plat and show you what it looks like? So, this is the plat, staff has gone through reviews, and we have a couple of open comments that are centered around, you know, notes and things like that, nothing major. There is water and sewer capacity for this project and based off the reviews, staff recommends an approval on this plan.

Ann Blackburn: Thank you, anyone, have any questions?

Robert Tooker: I had asked in our last meeting if you could just remind me about some earlier concerns about water pressure. I heard rumors about the houses; the new houses would be required to have sprinkler systems? I just don't understand that. I don't know of any other development that we've looked at, that requires sprinkler systems.

Andy Leath -GreenLID Design, representing the applicant: So yes, each new house will have its own sprinkler system confined inside the house.

Robert Tooker: Why is that?

Andy Leath: Because the pressures, Portland 's ordinance requires I think 1200 gallons a minutes or 1,000 gallons a minute for residential.

Nate Heisler: For fire suppression.

Andy Leath: For fire suppression. And it's only got 500 gallons a minute coming out of fire hydrants. So, while every other house in the subdivision isn't going to meet Portland 's current requirements these 14 will because they all have their individual sprinkler system inside. So, they're not going to be, they're going to have a tank in the garage and a pump inside the tank that will, if a fire happens, the pump will kick on and feed the sprinkler system.

Robert Tooker: Is this because pipes through the neighborhood or not large enough.

Andy Leath: Not necessarily through the neighborhood. It's the area in general. So, the neighborhood, if we went in and I think y'all have a current 6-inch line through the neighborhood, we're going to be installing an 8 inch in these 14 lots. I don't even know if we replaced the 6 inch with an 8 inch if it would get you to where Portland's current requirement is.

Robert Tooker: It's the feed to the neighborhood. Is because the pipes aren't large enough leading in or ?

Andy Leath: I think it's a lot bigger than just.

Luther Bratton: No, I think it's pretty simple, the development, when it was first designed, the 500 was in place, like when they built your houses and since then the cities increased that to a 1000.

Andy Leath: It's a 1000 for residential.

Ann Blackburn: Regulation exchanged.

Luther Bratton: That's basically it.

Robert Tooker: But does that mean then all of the existing homes and most of the homes in Portland don't have proper fire suppression.

Luther Bratton: Yes

Andy Leath: I didn't say it.

Robert Tooker: Is that right?

Luther Bratton: yeah. I mean to be honest, yes. there's places where.

Megann Thompson: Per the standard of the new, per the new updated appendixes we adopted. We adopted a new fire code, I think in 2019.

Andy Leath: It depends on what your standard.

Ann Blackburn: To meet the state.

Megann Thompson: To meet and it was recommended to us to meet, yeah.

Andy Leath: And it gets your ISO rating up. The more flow you have coming out of the fire hydrants the cheaper your overall fire insurance will be for the community.

Megan Thompson: And that could potentially change you know, if you know we're in talks with White House, I don't know what their plan is to the system but we had to make decisions to keep growth and you know at some point development started to grow at a faster pace and it was recommended that we

probably needed increased water flow in new developments. This is now considered a new development because they didn't finish it out in the phases as it was originally. They just they sat on it for a little bit so they could.

Robert Tooker: Just interesting. So, there is probably, I don't know how many houses are in that neighborhood.

Andy Leath: You still have 500 gallons a minute, so you still have a fire flow so if they hook up to the fire hydrant, there's going to be water coming out of it; it's just not coming out at.

Robert Tooker: The pressure.

Andy Leath : The same pressure capacity to Portland would say wanting to come out now.

Luther Bratton: And there is a debate it with. If it were more dense, you know, as we see in RM-1, 10,000 square foot lots, but in a lot on ½ acre lots, properties on 1/2 acre, really, it's probably over kill. But it's important when you go (un-auditable) , like with the 10,000 square foot lots, the houses are like this, and you really need, I say you need to pressure more, but put they passed it throughout the whole community.

Robert Tooker: So, if they feed into the neighborhood isn't enough water for the property pressure, where penalizing the developers? Rather than the city fixing the problem?

Andy Leath: I mean we in full honesty we had the, Bryan Price, when he was still here presented an option for us to upgrade quite a bit of main, but this is what we chose to come back with, and obviously what he agreed to. So, each new home does have or each new of these 14 does have proper fire protection, it's just a different method than coming out of the fire hydrant.

Megann Thompson: And it has been frustrating because we do have to find work arounds and you know Chief Tyson you know he's the Fire Marshall, so he does talk about firewalls or fire suppression sprinklers, all of those things, has work arounds to we can't. But it was brought to us as, this is the standard we need to be at and yes, the city has taken strides over for sure the past 10 years to upgrade water lines and have development upgrade water lines and try to get bigger flows throughout the system. Ultimately you know, we realized it's too big of a job.

Robert Tooker: So, the sprinkler system is the only thing the developer could do, I guess.

Megann Thompson: Right, if they want to, or upgrade the water main line which was down 109 and astronomical and expense compared to sprinkler systems.

Andy Leath: But also, with Portland, so most every municipality in the county has a 1000 gallons a minute for residential, so that's not unusual. The only places I have 500 gallons of it right now are the county itself and Westmoreland, they're smaller communities. So, for city like Portland to be less than 1000 gallons a minute it's not (inaudible).

Robert Tooker: I just wonder how many, how many single-family homes in Portland have sprinkler systems?

Andy Leath: Probably none, to be honest with you. But it's something that's coming more prevalent in other communities and it's because the fire code, the fire code updates, and as you guys adopt the most recent fire code, you'll see more of it.

Luther Bratton: And I appraise properties out Robertson County, mostly that there's no way for development to achieve a 1000 gallons. Even in Sumner County, if you develop out in the county, there's just no way to achieve it so, you know, a lot of folks feel that they're much safer with the sprinkler systems. And it's not, but you think of, as you know, tear the house up, it's localized and it's I've seen the demonstration, it's pretty effective.

Andy Leath: It's designed to keep the fire from spreading to the fire department time to get there it's not designed like necessarily put the blazing house fire out. It's to nip it in the bud starts to give the fire department time to get there and put it out.

Robert Tooker: One more question, since this is a kind of a redo or an update. Do you have a top level scheduled for infrastructure and for building?

Andy Leath: No, I mean Mr. Norris was chomping at the bit to begin a few months ago, when this was

brought to our attention that we let the Preliminary Plat lapse, so he's pretty sure he's even got materials on site and I haven't been out there myself, but I know we've staked sewer, staked water for him. Think that's part of what brought this to our attention, is he tried to get the Pre-Con going with the utility department, so he's ready to go.

Luther Bratton: I think that its more, the restrictions that was initially in place are they still in place? Building restrictions.

Andy Leath: What do you mean by that?

Luther Bratton: Well, the size, materials?

Andy Leath: Oh, no, none of that changed.

Luther Bratton: I think that was the question.

Andy Leath: None of that changed, this is the exact same submittal that was approved 3 years ago. With most recent comments.

Ann Blackburn: Do we have a motion on how to proceed?

Luther Bratton: I so move.

Gail Gentry: Second.

Ann Blackburn: Was your motion to approve?

Luther Bratton: Yes.

Motion to Approve: Luther Bratton

Second Motion: Gail Gentry-All were in favor, motion carried. 7-0.

Yes: Vice Mayor Megann Thompson, Jim DiPiazza, Robert Tooker, Chairwoman Ann Blackburn, Luther Bratton, Gail Gentry, Chirag Patel

Absent: Jessica Hunter, Ted Jernigan

7B. Kyle Schneider (applicant) request Site Plan approval for Storguard Expansion : location 1023 Gateview Drive, Portland TN. (Tax Map 034, Parcel 130.00) Sumner County 5.37 +/- acres. Zoned GCS (General Commercial Services)

Nate Heisler: So, I've zoomed into the property, this is off of highway 52 West. You could see Gateview Drive here and you can see the elementary school across the road. It's this property right here. So, one thing to keep in mind is that this property is governed by non-conforming uses, zoned GCS, General Commercial Services, which since this property has been built, storage units have been moved out of GCS and moved to IG industrial districts. So, we have regulations centered around non-conforming uses and one of those is called limited expansion. Meaning that if you have a non-conforming use like this, you can expand upon it, but it's based on the acquisition of additional land. So, if you're expanding on a lot that already exists, that's already been zoned, when it was approved originally, you can expand upon that as long as you're not pulling in more land. So that's where this falls under, that's the category that it falls under. To kind of give you an example of that, this is all existing you can see the 1, 2 and 3 buildings there, going to bring up the site plan, to show you here, so that's what we're looking at right now here on the site plan you can see the 3 buildings? This site plan is for an expansion to add an additional building in the same lot, here on the east side of the property. The size of this building is 18,200 square foot, follows under the same use and also to kind of add up on that, one thing that had come up during the review was parking. So, when you add an additional building like this it could affect parking but there was a BZA variance for parking granted back in 2021 for this property, for the same exact expansion the 18, 200. So that BZA variance is still in effect, so that means that they meet the parking requirements for this. They can shoot the parking where it's at. So that's one thing I wanted the Planning Commission to know. Let me kind of show you the landscaping on this.

Ann Blackburn: So BZA already knew about the expansion, when they granted the variance?

Nate Heisler: The expansion, the notes and the BZA meeting was geared around the same exact site plan. So, I'm guessing the owner didn't want to go through it, with the Site Plan part of it, but the BZA did grant the variance for parking.

Paul Kail: I had this whole project approved in 21 and then I saw a squirrel.

Ann Blackburn: It happens.

Nate Heisler: So, there will be additional landscaping on the South side here, just because this property next to is zoned as residential. So, you'll see the additional landscaping. Around Design Review, we have Design Review Regulations that allow for additions like this and the way that it reads inside of our Design Review Regulations that if you're doing an addition like this, it must match what is already there, materials and color. So, when you're looking at this, it's going to, the addition is going to look exactly like what's already there. Staff has about, I want to say, 10 open comments. None are major, somewhere around the grading plan and the drainage calculations, we're working with our engineer on that, and then I have a couple of clerical notes that need to be added to it too, so there's nothing major as far as open comments. So that's what we're looking at, and I'm going to take it back to the expansion here. So, keep in mind. It's just for this building right here. And that's what the expansion is for. If you have any questions, please let me know?

Ann Blackburn: Does anyone have questions?

Luther Bratton: Is that the property to the South, is that the proposed duplexes?

Nate Heisler: Yes Sir.

Ann Blackburn: How would y'all like to proceed?

Motion to Approve: Gail Gentry

Second Motion: Robert Tooker-All were in favor, motion carried. 7-0.

Yes: Vice Mayor Megann Thompson, Jim DiPiazza, Robert Tooker, Chairwoman Ann Blackburn, Luther Bratton, Gail Gentry, Chirag Patel

Absent: Jessica Hunter, Ted Jernigan

7C. It's an ordinance amendment revisions to the design review regulations, building material definition for Table 3 this admitted building material by zoning and or use.

Nate Heisler: So, I had placed on your desk, Design Review Regulations that we had discussions around last meeting. Let me let me bring it up on my screen, here just a minute. I don't think that I'll put that online. I'm going to grab a copy over here real quick. So, we had discussion around a couple of things last meeting, primarily around defining metal materials, if you turn to the chart on page 27 should be on the second page, we had discussions around, if you look at metal, we have architectural metal panels and then we also have metal siding ribbed and standing seam. I had added in some definitions for those during our pre app meetings, I was struggling with enforcing what exactly is architectural metal panels and what is standing seam and what is ribbed. So, our conversation last meeting was defining metal panels, architectural metal panels and then defining the standing seam in the ribbed. I believe by the end of the meeting, the group had agreed to open up the standing seam and ribbed metal siding for industrial districts. Currently it's not allowed in industrial. It's only architectural metal panels and I've had some local developers come to me and ask why that is, you know, especially when we have somebody like Kirby building systems here in town that does those sort of metals. So, this is me bringing a regulation change to the Planning Commission to, for one, define the metals and then also, open up the metal siding for industrial districts and if you look on the chart, one thing I was thinking about is, since our last meeting it might even be appropriate to add a note to the metal siding portion of this, in industrial districts, to limit Square footages. So, when you, do we want to open up metal siding for all industrial buildings? Which is fine, I think that's what we talked about last time and the group agreed with that, or do we want to open it up and have some sort of square footage cap? Is the group comfortable with metal

siding say on Vaughn Parkway? Those are larger buildings, you know most of those are over 50,000 square foot. Do we want to see metal siding for all industrial buildings become available or do we want to put say like a 50,000 square foot cap to where if you're 50,000 square foot and over you got to go back to using metal panels, architectural metal panels? See what I'm saying, does that make sense? So, I've added that note on there and I can, you know we didn't talked about that last time, but I can keep it or move it or do whatever the group wants me to do, but this is a regulation change so, I'd love to hear your feedback on it and where we need to go.

Ann Blackburn: What's y'all's thoughts?

Luther Bratton: Is there any way to, even with 50,000, I would personally like to see, something within the office area, the front of the facility, you know, have some something with character, cause when the economy's not good, someone may come in and you know, just put something up just not really desirable. It's you know, it's typically, most industrial, the offices are there in the front of the facility has a different look, character. Is there a way to do something here maybe, put a requirement, that it has to go before the BZA or Design Review or something to assure that it's just not, rather than doing the percentage.

Jim DiaPizzia: Are you saying like the metal portion over the industrial portion of the building and then the office portion?

Luther Bratton: Yeah. Yeah, something that adds some character to it, rather than give someone just doesn't care and just come in and want to build a warehouse like I don't you know most of the facilities I don't wanna drive, are really not, I mean there's a lot of metal but the front of the buildings they're really appealing to the eyes, so I didn't know if we could do something like that it's just an idea.

Nate Heisler: I can generate those regulations, but I guess the question would be . does the Planning Commission want to see those before approving. You know I'm fine with doing that we can we can defer it I can bring it back next meeting with those type of regulations or if you just want to approve based upon that I can I can do that too so whatever the group wants to see.

Luther Bratton: Me personally, if you don't have anything In front of you that would prevent us from deferring it, do you have anything that you about to prove or not to approve?

Nate Heisler: Well, I think the question for me is do we want to put a cap on it. That's the big question, do we want to put a square footage cap on when metal siding is cut off, for industrial districts.

Gail Gentry: For me, I would think the metal siding would be ok, as long as they do something decorative, on the front the office area.

Nate Heisler: Ok, so no cap.

Gail Gentry: Yeah.

Nate Heisler: OK.

Ann Blackburn: So, you're saying use the architectural metal panels on the front of the of the buildings.

Gail Gentry: Something to break it up and make it look nice and appealing from the road.

Nate Heisler: And also keep in mind that this is an ordinance, so it needs to go to City Council too. So, this is a recommendation to City Council and I can, if the body wishes I could make those changes and take it to City Council with the facade portion of this for office area. If you'd like?

Megann Thompson: How do we enforce that like? What if we're asking, how do we enforce that , I guess.

Luther Bratton: I think you would approach, like when I prepared these restrictions, I'll say 60% of the front of the dwelling or 80% and they can choose how they want to do the percentages.

Megann Thompson: I mean I'm supportive of it I. Just want to make sure that that forceable.

Luther Bratton: I mean that's the way we do it in residential, most of mine with say you have to have at least 60% of brick or on the front of the property and for brick to grade has to be brick to grade.

Megann Thompson: Or a metal siding cannot apply it in front facade like, that?

Nate Heisler: I can do some research.

Luther Bratton: Yeah, I personally think that if it's not anything pressing, to actually put your thoughts together and bring it back next month so to visit, I mean, is that if I have anything pressing, I would say we need to send it on.

Nate Heisler: There's nothing pressing, I just feel like that this needs to be a change to help me enforce it correctly.

Ann Blackburn: Yeah.

Luther Bratton: That's my thought .

Megann Thompson: Yeah. I do agree that there are, when we talk about Vaughn there are some very elaborate lobbies out there, and there are some buildings that invested a lot of money in their front facade and I would hate for them to feel like the building shows up next time and it's one big metal siding building with a door entrance and kind of, I appreciate Luther bringing that up because I hadn't considered that, you want to be more cost effective for someone building potentially a 750,000 square foot building. And that they put metal siding on it, but you don't want to lose that front facade that makes Vaughn Drive kind of a proud road to drive down. These industries are here, these big lobbies, these big corporate headquarters like.

Luther Bratton: Where I think you will see that, is where a lot of the corporate people, that's their office, so they wanted to look good, but oftentimes you may have an investor in Texas and all he's concerned about is a warehouse to store in Portland, he doesn't really care really, may not ever been to Portland. So, we have to kind of safeguard against someone that doesn't have pride in the community. Like most of the folks out there do.

Nate Heisler: Also keep in mind that there's other materials that they can choose from. This is just the metal portion of it. And you know, I'd like to think it through and talk it through with the group, like I said I was thinking about Vaughn Parkway and if you open it up completely, you know, somebody could go out there and put the metal siding building up and there's a couple of those in in Franklin next to the Interstate, so maybe put some sort of stipulation on it.

Luther Bratton: There is a couple on Kirby Drive. I mean you know back. 30 years ago.

Megann Thompson: And I get what you're saying with the cap, most of this stuff out in that industrial park in Vaughn Drive is not going to be less than 100,000 square foot. So, you're kind of protecting that area while opening up maybe some industrial land we have off Faultless and Kirby and all those smaller lots that might be able to pop up a smaller building, and kind of fit the facade of that area because it is updated. So, I get what you're trying to say and yeah maybe if we can.

Luther Bratton: And I wouldn't be opposed to doing both. You know you could have the cap and have the percentage, you know, I mean like that's.

Ann Blackburn: I like both.

Nate Heisler: Ok, well I'll work on it some more and I'll bring it back next month and add those things into it and we'll kind of go from there you have.

Megann Thompson: OK, so is that a motion to defer? Do we do that?

Motion to Defer Gail Gentry

Second Motion: Jim DiPiazza-All were in favor, motion carried. 7-0.

Yes: Vice Mayor Megann Thompson, Jim DiPiazza, Robert Tooker, Chairwoman Ann Blackburn, Luther Bratton, Gail Gentry, Chirag Patel

Absent: Jessica Hunter, Ted Jernigan

OK that closes up new business, we do not have any old business.

Planning Commission members Comments, does anyone have anything.

City Planner's Comments:

Nate Heisler: I want to let the group know that I'm working on setting up a training session towards the end of September. I've got a guy that I'm working with from MTAS, I won't say any names until we are set in stone, yet, but we've had a conversation if he said that he's going to come in but I just wanted to give you a heads up we're looking at an afternoon session. Maybe after lunch from 1 to 5 to get our 4 hours that we need this year and looking and getting a building off site from here and then maybe do some light catering and stuff. So, I just wanted to give you a heads up on that so be looking forward to an e-mail from me I'll be sending that out and like I said. The end of September that's when we're shooting for. So just a heads up.

Ann Blackburn: All right, Public Recognition for Non-Agenda related items, I didn't have any sign up for that and you want to make the last motion?

Luther Bratton: Do you won't comment on that, is that for City Council? Is that for Planning?

Ann Blackburn: I don't know.

Megann Thompson: We got one at City Council and then he , these are from the mayor and it's for you.

Luther Bratton: Well, thank you.

Megann Thompson: You can thank the mayor.

Ann Blackburn: Alright , motion to adjourn?

Gail Gentry: motion.

Jim DiPiazza: Second

Ann Blackburn: all right, all those in favor say aye.

All were in favor.

Motion to adjourn: Gail Gentry

Second Motion: Jim DiPiazza-All were in favor, motion carried. 7-0.

Yes: Vice Mayor Megann Thompson, Jim DiPiazza, Robert Tooker, Chairwoman Ann Blackburn, Luther Bratton, Gail Gentry, Chirag Patel

Absent: Jessica Hunter, Ted Jernigan

Adjournment 5:36 PM



Chairwoman Ann Blackburn

9-8-24

Date



Recording Secretary

9/8/2026

Date

City of Portland Public Recognition Sign-In Sheet

- In accordance with Resolution #26-33, public comments are allowed when those comments are germane to either the agenda or to the board's governing authority, except where otherwise prohibited.
- The number of speakers and/or allotted time may be limited by the presiding officer to ensure all views are heard in a timely manner.

All wanting to speak must complete the signup sheet before the start of the meeting.

Public Hearings & Agenda Item Comments Use This Section

Comments must be germane to agenda & public hearing items and each speaker has a maximum of 5-minutes

Speaker Information		List the agenda item to comment on	
Print Name	Paul Kail		
Address	1023 Gateview Dr 37148		Star Guard
Print Name			
Address			
Print Name			
Address			
Print Name			
Address			
Print Name			
Address			
Print Name			
Address			

Non-Agenda Item Comments Use The Section Below

Comments must be germane to the authority of the board and each speaker has a maximum of 3-minutes

Speaker Information			
Print Name		Address	
Print Name		Address	
Print Name		Address	
Print Name		Address	
Print Name		Address	

The Following Information Is To Be Filled Out By The Presiding Meeting Clerk

Board/Committee: Planning Commission Meeting Date: 8/11/26 Page # 1 of 1